

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 94 14:32:01 PDT  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #630  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sun, 5 Jun 94 Volume 94 : Issue 630

Today's Topics:

440 in So. Cal.  
<<<Help--Mosley 40m add-on>>>  
Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 04 June  
Hallicrafters SX-28?  
Willamette Valley DX Club

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

---

Date: 5 Jun 1994 14:08:31 -0600  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10.cs.du.edu!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: 440 in So. Cal.  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2sr3vb\$44v@chnews.intel.com>,  
Cecil A. Moore -FT-~ <cmoore@ilx018.intel.com> wrote:  
>Hi Jay, welcome to democratic socialism.

Tell that to Greg Bullough. He thinks I'm a '50s Red-baiter when I call a  
communist idea a communist idea.

>The great majority of hams believe that the federal government should and  
>does own all radio frequencies. If one accepts that idea, then if the FCC  
>says "all amateur repeaters shall be open", the Great OZ has spoken.

The FCC has said exactly the opposite: "all amateur repeaters shall be  
closed". The Great OZ has spoken. They're not listening.

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can  
jmaynard@admin5.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.  
To Sarah Brady, Howard Metzenbaum, Dianne Feinstein, and Charles Schumer:  
Thanks. Without you, I would be neither a gun owner nor an NRA life member.

Date: 5 Jun 1994 17:08:03 -0400  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!udel!news.udel.edu!brahms.udel.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: <<<Help--Mosley 40m add-on>>>  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I have a TA-33 up which must be about 20 years old but works fine. I just ran into a TA-40-KR 40 meter add on kit. When we put it on, the antenna analyzer showed that the SWR was way out of line on all the bands. 40 was nowhere to be seen, 20 was way off, others not quite so bad. This is measuring on the ground.

Any ideas? Tnx Bob

Bob Penneys, WN3K Frankford Radio Club Internet: penneys@pecan.cns.udel.edu  
Work: Ham Radio Outlet (Delaware) (800) 644-4476; fax (302) 322-8808  
Mail at home: 12 East Mill Station Drive Newark, DE 19711 USA

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 1994 09:05:49 MDT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!psgrain!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!ve6mgs!  
usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 04 June  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

DAILY SUMMARY OF SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY

04 JUNE, 1994

(Based In-Part On SESC Observational Data)

SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY INDICES FOR 04 JUNE, 1994

-----  
NOTE: Electron fluence at greater than 2 MeV was at high levels today.  
The background x-ray flux remained below the class A1.0 level.

```
!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 155, 06/04/94
10.7 FLUX=067.6 90-AVG=082      SSN=000      BKI=4333 3333  BAI=016
BGND-XRAY=A1.0      FLU1=1.7E+06  FLU10=1.1E+04 PKI=4444 3334  PAI=022
BOU-DEV=050,031,025,032,027,030,021,038  DEV-AVG=031 NT      SWF=00:000
XRAY-MAX= A3.7 @ 1603UT      XRAY-MIN= A1.0 @ 1733UT      XRAY-AVG= A1.8
NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 1025UT      NEUTN-MIN= -003% @ 1550UT      NEUTN-AVG= -0.3%
PCA-MAX= +0.1DB @ 2140UT      PCA-MIN= -0.4DB @ 0015UT      PCA-AVG= +0.0DB
BOUTF-MAX=55347NT @ 0037UT      BOUTF-MIN=55296NT @ 1653UT      BOUTF-AVG=55315NT
GOES7-MAX=P:+000NT@ 0000UT      GOES7-MIN=N:+000NT@ 0000UT      G7-AVG=+077,+000,+000
GOES6-MAX=P:+148NT@ 1844UT      GOES6-MIN=N:-082NT@ 0242UT      G6-AVG=+104,+032,-031
FLUXFCST=STD:070,070,075;SESC:070,070,075 BAI/PAI-FCST=020,020,015/020,020,020
KFCST=3334 4332 3334 4332 27DAY-AP=036,024 27DAY-KP=5565 4344 4545 3333
WARNINGS=*GSTRM;*AURMIDWCH
ALERTS=
!!END-DATA!!
```

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 03 JUN 94 is not available.  
The Full Kp Indices for 03 JUN 94 are: 4o 4o 3o 3o 3+ 3- 3+ 4-  
The 3-Hr Ap Indices for 03 JUN 94 are: 26 30 17 15 18 14 18 24  
Greater than 2 MeV Electron Fluence for 04 JUN is: 9.6E+08

#### SYNOPSIS OF ACTIVITY

-----

Solar activity was very low. A six degree long filament, centered at N23E04, disappeared at approximately 04/1500UT this period. Otherwise, the disk remains spotless and quiet.

Solar activity forecast: solar activity is expected to be very low.

The geomagnetic field has been at mostly unsettled to active levels for the past 24 hours. High latitude stations did experience some minor to severe storm conditions during the 04/06-12UT time frame. Energetic electron flux (GT 2 MeV) ranged from normal to high levels over the past 24 hours.

Geophysical activity forecast: the geomagnetic field is expected to be mostly quiet to active for the next two days. High latitude stations can expect some minor to major storm conditions during local nighttime. By day three, overall activity is expected to decrease to mostly quiet to unsettled

with some active conditions expected during local nighttime.

Event probabilities 05 jun-07 jun

Class M	01/01/01
Class X	01/01/01
Proton	01/01/01
PCAF	Green

Geomagnetic activity probabilities 05 jun-07 jun

A. Middle Latitudes

Active	25/25/25
Minor Storm	20/20/15
Major-Severe Storm	10/10/10

B. High Latitudes

Active	20/25/20
Minor Storm	25/20/20
Major-Severe Storm	15/15/15

HF propagation conditions improved only slightly today. High and polar latitude paths continued to see periods of signal degradation, mostly during the local night and morning sectors. All other regions were near-normal. Similar conditions are expected over the next 3 days, through 07 June inclusive.

COPIES OF JOINT USAF/NOAA SESC SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS

---

REGIONS WITH SUNSPOTS. LOCATIONS VALID AT 04/2400Z JUNE

---

NMBR	LOCATION	LO	AREA	Z	LL	NN	MAG	TYPE
7728	S07W79	321						PLAGE

REGIONS DUE TO RETURN 05 JUNE TO 07 JUNE

NMBR	LAT	LO
7722	N09	122

LISTING OF SOLAR ENERGETIC EVENTS FOR 04 JUNE, 1994

---

BEGIN	MAX	END	RGN	LOC	XRAY	OP	245MHZ	10CM	SWEET
NONE									

POSSIBLE CORONAL MASS EJECTION EVENTS FOR 04 JUNE, 1994

BEGIN	MAX	END	LOCATION	TYPE	SIZE	DUR	II	IV
04/ 1504		1511	N23E04	DSF				

INFERRRED CORONAL HOLES. LOCATIONS VALID AT 04/2400Z

ISOLATED HOLES AND POLAR EXTENSIONS									
	EAST	SOUTH	WEST	NORTH	CAR	TYPE	POL	AREA	OBSN
83	S44W08	S60W59	S32W90	S22W12	290	EXT	NEG	050	10830A
84	N38W36	N36W48	N46W54	N50W44	285	ISO	POS	004	10830A
85	N20E66	S25E56	N05E26	N24E66	195	ISO	POS	017	10830A

SUMMARY OF FLARE EVENTS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

Date	Begin	Max	End	Xray	Op	Region	Locn	2695 MHz	8800 MHz	15.4 GHz
03 Jun:	1544	1548	1557	B1.0						

REGION FLARE STATISTICS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

	C	M	X	S	1	2	3	4	Total	(%)
Uncorrellated:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	001	(100.0)

Total Events: 001 optical and x-ray.

EVENTS WITH SWEEPS AND/OR OPTICAL PHENOMENA FOR THE LAST UTC DAY

Date	Begin	Max	End	Xray	Op	Region	Locn	Sweeps/Optical Observations
								NO EVENTS OBSERVED.

#### NOTES:

All times are in Universal Time (UT). Characters preceding begin, max, and end times are defined as: B = Before, U = Uncertain, A = After. All times associated with x-ray flares (ex. flares which produce associated x-ray bursts) refer to the begin, max, and end times of the x-rays. Flares which are not associated with x-ray signatures use the optical observations to determine the begin, max, and end times.

Acronyms used to identify sweeps and optical phenomena include:

II = Type II Sweep Frequency Event  
III = Type III Sweep  
IV = Type IV Sweep  
V = Type V Sweep  
Continuum = Continuum Radio Event  
Loop = Loop Prominence System,  
Spray = Limb Spray,  
Surge = Bright Limb Surge,  
EPL = Eruptive Prominence on the Limb.

\*\* End of Daily Report \*\*

-----

Date: Fri, 3 Jun 1994 22:55:07 GMT  
From: sli!ravel!jng@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Hallicrafters SX-28?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I've come into possession of an antique Hallicrafters SX-28 "Super Skyrider" receiver. Not being a ham, I'm looking for advice on what to do with it.

For the uninitiated, the SX-28 is an antique receiver with enough dials on the front to run a nuclear power plant singlehandedly. Its construction is sturdy enough to do a tank proud, and it's in good shape as far as I can tell.

I don't know exactly when it was manufactured, but the manual (which includes full schematics) is dated November 1, 1943. The front cover says "frequency range - .55 to 43. megacycles (!)".

This receiver is obviously obsolete by today's standards, but may provide hours of fascination for the right hobbyist. It's so old, it may even be worth money.

Questions:

1. Is there a market for antique receivers like this?
2. About how much might it be worth?
3. Where might I advertise it if I wanted to sell it (other than this group)?
4. If it's not worth selling, how might I go about finding it a good home?

I never read this newsgroup, so please e-mail responses to me: jng@sli.com  
If I get any good advice, I'll post a summary...

Thanks,

Mike Gilbert jng@sli.com  
Software Leverage, Inc.

---

Date: 5 Jun 1994 14:22:19 -0400  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!mailer.acns.fsu.edu!freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!  
freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Willamette Valley DX Club  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Yes [at least it was two weeks ago when I last wrote them]. Double  
check it, but I recall WVDXC, POB 555, Portland, OR 97207. 73,

Michael Christie, K7RLS  
Crawfordville, Florida

---

Date: 5 Jun 1994 19:45:23 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!mailer.acns.fsu.edu!freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!  
freenet2.scri.fsu.edu!sjking@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2s5s18\$ihu@illuminati.io.com>, <2s5uma\$oqg@bones.et.byu.edu>,  
<1994May31.114556.3379@eisner>,  
Subject : Re: IDing

Michael D Brown (brown\_mi@eisner.decus.org) wrote:

: My favorite lately is not the guy who says "....monitoring 145.xx", it's the  
: guy who says "this is ..... monitoring the k9... repeater located in .....".  
: Nice to know that I have a talking repeater directory on the air.

: 73  
: KF9VC  
: Mike

Or worse, the guy who says he's monitoring the input frequency of the  
repeater, instead of giving the output frequency.

--  
Steven J. King KC6WCH/4 EL89tq "SURF, KITTY, SURF!"  
SFCC: Stevenson.J.King@santafe.cc.fl.us  
Free-Net: SJKing@freenet.fsu.edu  
Packet: KC6WCH @ K4DPZ.#GNVFL.FL.USA.NA

---

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 1994 19:23:33 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!

rogjd@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994Jun5.125616.24165@cs.brown.edu>, <rogjdCqxn3n.Erz@netcom.com>, <2st6jd\$ej5@ccnet.ccnet.com>  
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Bob Wilkins n6fri (rwilkins@ccnet.com) wrote:

: Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:

: : Sorry if the facts are confusing you, Mike, but my above recounting of  
: : the facts stands. Clara was advised by its very expensive legal counsel  
: : that they would be on much stronger grounds trying to bar certain  
: : individuals from the repeater if the repeater were coordinated as closed.

: : They consequently applied to the coordinating body to re-coordinate their  
: : pair as closed. The application, in recognition of the above, was granted.

: How does your local coordinating body define Closed? How does this  
: re-coordination action affect future coordinations on the same frequency?  
: Is there a period of time for all interested parties to comment on the  
: action?

: I am aware that the southern california 2meter coordinators have listened  
: to the general user base and made recommendations that some would consider  
: detrimental toward the repeater trustee. How is it that you and the  
: community let a high level Open 2meter repeater change its status to  
: Closed?

: Every region of the country has a different set of guidelines for  
: coordination. How about sharing the sections of your local guidelines as  
: they relate to open vs closed coordination. Does southern california  
: allow private 2meter repeaters?

: I would think that more repeaters can be packed into a given area using  
: the closed format by trustee agreements allowing for greater overlap. Open  
: repeaters have a more difficult time with overlapping coverage with other  
: open repeaters as they must take all users including those in overlapping  
: areas. The closed repeater trustee can ask his users to be aware of the  
: overlaps and use consideration or find an other repeater in that area.

: Bob

Rather than turn this response into a massive research project which  
could, conceivably, eat into my beer drinking time, let me answer your  
question simply. The group in question let it be known that despite the

"closed" coordination of the repeater, all good operators would be welcome, and would not be asked to leave. (On many/most closed repeaters around here, even good operators are often asked to leave, which is why there are hard feelings in the area.)

This is my understanding of why the "closed" status was granted. The repeater had turned into a crud-magnet, and they needed to beef up their grounds for asking certain operators to leave.

Hope this answers your question.

--

rogjd@netcom.com  
Glendale, CA  
AB6WR

---

Date: 5 Jun 1994 14:26:17 -0600  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10.cs.du.edu!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <770696053.AA05056@rochgte.fidonet.org>, <1994Jun4.122239.6023@cs.brown.edu>, <gregCqworH.IEq@netcom.com>ne.cs.d  
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

In article <gregCqworH.IEq@netcom.com>, Greg Bullough <greg@netcom.com> wrote:  
>Michael is right on this... . . .there are lots of reasons to leave  
>the 'pioneers' to be proud of their 440 pioneering for the next  
>quarter-century and head for 1.2Ghz. For that is the land where  
>cellular systems begin to make sense, where antennas are tiny, and  
>where, thanks to Motorola et. al. generating a bit of RF is no  
>longer a major feat.

Absolutely. 1280 is the next frontier, and if you want to see it full of "open" repeaters, get after it...and I won't stand in your way.

>And the Jay Maynards of this world can search out a good 75meter/440Mhz  
>all-mode transceiver, to fit their world-view.

Actually, I don't own a radio that transmits below 144 MHz at the moment. I do, however, own a 440/1200 HT.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can jmaynard@admin5.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.  
To Sarah Brady, Howard Metzenbaum, Dianne Feinstein, and Charles Schumer:  
Thanks. Without you, I would be neither a gun owner nor an NRA life member.

-----  
Date: 5 Jun 1994 14:19:35 -0600  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10.cs.du.edu!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <gregCqu5LJ.62G@netcom.com>, <2sp2nb\$pnb@nyx10.cs.du.edu>, <gregCqwoCC.HoD@netcom.com>  
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

In article <gregCqwoCC.HoD@netcom.com>, Greg Bullough <greg@netcom.com> wrote:  
>What isn't yours can't be taken away from you. The airwaves are a public  
>resource. The fact that you were in the park first doesn't mean that  
>you have the right to pitch your tent and make it your home.

OTOH, you can't be deprived of the use of your property without compensation, either. If the FCC were to change its mind and say that all repeaters should be open, they'd most likely lose a suit charging they'd done exactly that. Coordinating bodies are in a weaker position than the FCC.

>Red-Baiting as a form of intelligent argument disappeared in the 1950's.  
>As a stupid diversionary tactic, it fell from favor in the 1970's.

You're entitled to your opinion, of course. Just as I am entitled to my opinion: that you are determined to force repeater trustees to make their hard-earned incomes and labor available for you to use and abuse for no compensation and with no controls whatsoever.

>In this area, too, Mr. Maynard needs to catch up with reality.

I've been a coordinator. You obviously haven't.  
I'm a director of the largest single coordinating body in the US. You aren't.

Who deals with reality here?

>Oh yes, I'm a dyed-in-the-wool Red. As must be anyone who advocates  
>the outrageous notion that public resources made so by Act of Congress  
>(radio spectrum) ought to be made available to the public, and be utilized  
>at the pleasure of the public.

OK...so go compete with your local TV station on their channel. I'll promise to write you during your stay at Leavenworth.

>I might take your arguments seriously, Jay, if you didn't come across  
>as a fringe lunatic who'd probably call Rush Limbaugh a pinko.

Rush is, overall, a kook - but he's right sometimes, too. Ralph Nader - who, from the sound of your arguments, is your idol - is a kook, too, but even he's

right sometimes.

>...and you can salvage the site, the single most valuable commodity.

Not always. You have to get the site owner's approval for your system, and if he won't approve a system on another frequency, you're SOL.

>Not necessarily. They may well be decent folks, like most hams. They  
>may also realize that a working machine is worth a lot; you don't just  
>run down to Sam's Used Repeater lot, after all.

OTOH, there'd be a lot of repeaters come available, by your argument, thus driving down the market.

The trustee winds up getting screwed...and without even a kiss.

>With this point, we see that Jay agrees. 'Da comrade' translates to  
>'I understand this to be true, and must concede you this point,' in  
>his vernacular. It indicates that he has no response to the point,  
>beyond his back-up Red-baiting.

Haw. It's really that I am rejecting your argument simply because that's not the way our society works...but it IS the way you, and Karl Marx, would have it work. That's a bug, not a feature.

>Steal what? The frequency? How can one steal what can't be owned? The gov't  
>gave us 200 meters and down in 1912. Have they 'reneged' because they  
>changed the rules a few times since then?

You've stolen the value f the system, because you've forced him to operate it under your rules, not his. That's theft, pure and simple, because you control it now.

>Nothing is forever, man. The deal really was 'you move to the upper end,'  
>and leave us alone below.

The deal was "you move up there and leave us alone, and we'll leave you alone". They did. You're proposing to go back on your part. That generates lawsuits. Ones that usually win.

>Keep moving. Plenty of room, plenty of room.

Indeed. There's plenty of room for all...and without forcing folks off of their homestead that they've occupied for 30 years and all its improvements, with no compensation. Why don't you try 1280?

>>Are you prepared to defend that idea with your bank account, not to mention  
>>your future livelihood? I sure as hell am not, and those are exactly the

>>stakes.  
>Gee, what a great hobby.

Them's the facts, man. I don't like 'em either, but they won't go away no matter how hard I wish.

--  
Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can jmaynard@admin5.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.  
To Sarah Brady, Howard Metzenbaum, Dianne Feinstein, and Charles Schumer:  
Thanks. Without you, I would be neither a gun owner nor an NRA life member.

-----

Date: 5 Jun 1994 20:34:14 GMT  
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!crcnis1.unl.edu!  
ace.mid.net!newsfeed.ksu.ksu.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!hobbes.physics.uiowa.edu!  
news.uiowa.edu!icaen!drenze@ihnp4.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2sngi3\$ms9@usenet.INS.CWRU.Edu>, <CquEr3.8FH@spdc.ti.com>,  
<gregCqwpJM.K35@netcom.com>  
Subject : Re: \*\* WAITING PERIOD FOR LICENSE ?? \*\*

greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) writes:

>And remember, when you vote for your ARRL director, that the League opposed  
>the plan that would have had you on the air as soon as you passed, instead  
>of cooling your heels, losing interest and code speed (if the latter applies)  
>in the mean-time.

...and that's one reason I'd be supporting my ARRL director, if I were a member. Instant licensing is a bad idea. BAD BAD BAD BAD! Too much potential for bootleggers to abuse it. All I'd have to do is make up a new "instant callsign" every few weeks and they'd have a heckuva time catching me. I'm very very glad they didn't support it.

--  
Doug Renze, N0YVW \* drenze@isca.uiowa.edu \* N0YVW @ W0IUQ.ia.usa.na  
DRenze@aol.com \*\* drenze@chop.isca.uiowa.edu

-----  
Date: Sun, 5 Jun 1994 21:15:30 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!  
rogjd@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CquEr3.8FH@spdc.ti.com>, <gregCqwpJM.K35@netcom.com>,

<2stcs6\$c89@news.icaen.uiowa.edu>  
Subject : Re: \*\* WAITING PERIOD FOR LICENSE ?? \*\*

Douglas J Renze (drenze@icaen.uiowa.edu) wrote:  
: greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) writes:

: >And remember, when you vote for your ARRL director, that the League opposed  
: >the plan that would have had you on the air as soon as you passed, instead  
: >of cooling your heels, losing interest and code speed (if the latter applies)  
: >in the mean-time.

: ...and that's one reason I'd be supporting my ARRL director, if I were a  
: member. Instant licensing is a bad idea. BAD BAD BAD BAD! Too much  
: potential for bootleggers to abuse it. All I'd have to do is make up  
: a new "instant callsign" every few weeks and they'd have a heckuva  
: time catching me. I'm very very glad they didn't support it.

: --  
: Doug Renze, N0YVW \* drenze@isca.uiowa.edu \* N0YVW @ W0IUQ.ia.usa.na  
: DRenze@aol.com \*\* drenze@chop.isca.uiowa.edu

I second that! That crazy "use your initials for a callsign" proposal  
was the worst idea I've ever heard come out of the FCC rulemaking  
process! Terrible! We'd have been a\*\* deep in bootleggers so fast it  
wouldn't have been funny.

Sorry it takes 3 months. It took about that long when I got my Novice  
back in 66. I didn't like waiting either. But at least there is a  
relatively clean, uncorrupted ham radio hobby waiting for you, for the  
rest of your life, after your ticket does come in the mail.

73!

--

rogjd@netcom.com  
Glendale, CA  
AB6WR

---

Date: 5 Jun 1994 13:53:29 -0700  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.cerf.net!ccnet.com!ccnet.com!not-for-  
mail@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <rogjdCqxn3n.Erz@netcom.com>, <2st6jd\$ej5@ccnet.ccnet.com>,  
<rogjdCqxv79.B00@netcom.com>  
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:

: Rather than turn this response into a massive research project which  
: could, conceivably, eat into my beer drinking time, let me answer your  
: question simply. The group in question let it be known that despite the  
: "closed" coordination of the repeater, all good operators would be  
: welcome, and would not be asked to leave. (On many/most closed repeaters  
: around here, even good operators are often asked to leave, which is why  
: there are hard feelings in the area.)

This does not appear to be a spectrum management issue. By allowing "good" operators to use their closed repeater they have functioned on the crud-magnet. Most closed groups only want the "fine business" operators or they strive for true excellence.

: This is my understanding of why the "closed" status was granted. The  
: repeater had turned into a crud-magnet, and they needed to beef up their  
: grounds for asking certain operators to leave.

What happens when coordinated closed status does not solve the problem?  
Can the repeater trustee ask for coordinated private use of the frequencies?

: Hope this answers your question.

You will not be able to answer any questions until you take the time to research all of the interrelated issues. Your general understandings are only opinions. Why not back up your opinions with the documents from the local coordinating body.

Bob

--

Bob Wilkins	work	bwilkins@cave.org
Berkeley, California	home	rwilkins@ccnet.com
94701-0710	play	n6fri@n6eeg.#nocal.ca.usa.noam

-----

Date: 5 Jun 1994 11:47:09 -0700  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.cerf.net!ccnet.com!ccnet.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <rogjdCqx6xn.F2D@netcom.com>, <1994Jun5.125616.24165@cs.brown.edu>, <rogjdCqxn3n.Erz@netcom.com>  
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:

: Sorry if the facts are confusing you, Mike, but my above recounting of  
: the facts stands. Clara was advised by its very expensive legal counsel  
: that they would be on much stronger grounds trying to bar certain  
: individuals from the repeater if the repeater were coordinated as closed.  
  
: They consequently applied to the coordinating body to re-coordinate their  
: pair as closed. The application, in recognition of the above, was granted.

How does your local coordinating body define Closed? How does this  
re-coordination action affect future coordinations on the same frequency?  
Is there a period of time for all interested parties to comment on the  
action?

I am aware that the southern california 2meter coordinators have listened  
to the general user base and made recommendations that some would consider  
detrimental toward the repeater trustee. How is it that you and the  
community let a high level Open 2meter repeater change its status to  
Closed?

Every region of the country has a different set of guidelines for  
coordination. How about sharing the sections of your local guidelines as  
they relate to open vs closed coordination. Does southern california  
allow private 2meter repeaters?

I would think that more repeaters can be packed into a given area using  
the closed format by trustee agreements allowing for greater overlap. Open  
repeaters have a more difficult time with overlapping coverage with other  
open repeaters as they must take all users including those in overlapping  
areas. The closed repeater trustee can ask his users to be aware of the  
overlaps and use consideration or find an other repeater in that area.

Bob

--

Bob Wilkins	work	bwilkins@cave.org
Berkeley, California	home	rwilkins@ccnet.com
94701-0710	play	n6fri@n6eeg.#nocal.ca.usa.noam

---

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #630

\*\*\*\*\*